- VOLUME G -

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE-

MONSANTO COMPANY,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff

MYCOGEN PLANT SCIENCE, INC., AGRIGENETICS, INC. and NOVARTIS CORPORATION,

Defendants

NO. 96-133 (RRM)

Wilmington, Delaware Thursday, June 25, 1998 8:55 o'clock, a.m.

BEFORE: HONORABLE RODERICK R. McKELVIE, U.S.D.C.J.

APPEARANCES:

POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON
BY: WILLIAM J. MARSDEN, JR., ESQ.

-and-

Official Court Reporters

Multi-Page'			
1	Page 1884		Page 1886
1	A. This is a DNA sequence document that was printed	1	Perlak?
	from the VACs computer at Monsanto at the time I was	2	A. For a year.
3	there. The FIPERL is Fred's designation. So this would	3	Q. Did he work throughout that time on this sequence,
4	be one of Fred's documents. And the BTOURS would	4	this Bt sequence?
5	suggest that this is a Bt sequence.	5	A- Yes.
6	And inside, you see sequence of DNA and	6	Q. Did he throughout that time work on highlighting
7	coding sequence. There is yellow highlighting. That	7	and modifying printouts such as this?
8	highlighting was characteristic of the way Fred would	8	A. Most of that went on early after I arrived.
9	mark sequences that he thought might be problematic for	9	Q. Did he continue doing that over a period of time?
10	plant expression.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Do you recall ever observing Dr. Perlak make	11	Q. Did he use a number of different documents like
12	markings on a document similar to that?	12	this?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. I can't speak to that.
14	Q. And when did you first see Dr. Perlak make markings	14	Q. Now, you said that somebody showed you this document
15	on a document similar to PTX-157	15	earlier today. Did you recognize it when somebody showed
1	A. Within a short time after my arrival, within a few	16	it to you?
17	weeks after my arrival.	17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Q. Do you recall how frequently you saw Dr. Perlak	18	Q. This specific document?
19		19	A. I recognize the way this is coded. I recognize
20	A. This was his main focus when I arrived at Monsanto.	20	Fred's designation. I recognize the title. I recognize
ł	He worked on this regularly. Very often.	21	how it was generated. And I recognize internal to this
1	Q. I am going to hand to the witness what has been	22	the kinds of documents that Fred was using at the time.
1	marked as PTX-180. Have you seen PTX-180 before, Dr.	23	Q. The kinds. What about the specific document?
1	Hanley-Bowdoin?	24	A. I can't speak to that, no, I can't say for a fact
25	A. Yes. This is a manuscript and a table that is		this particular document.
	Page 1885		Page 1800
1	codons that are used in genes that are expressed in		Q. And can you identify any time or date as to when
	various biological systems. So it would be, for example,	2	the changes were made in a document like this?
3	a table that you would use to change the codons used in	3	A. No, I cannot, not specifically.
4	a bacterial gene to be optimized for plants.	14	MR DRIVAS: Thank you. No further
	Q. Was PTX-180 readily available in Monsanto?	5	•
1	A. Yes, it was.	6	MS. MAHANEY: No questions, your Honor.
	Q. Did you ever observe Dr. Perlak using PTX-1807	7	MR. LEE: No questions.
1	A. Yes, I did. We had, in our office that we shared,	8	MR. LYNCH: May Dr. Hanley-Bowdoin be excused,
	it was a U-shaped office, and there was a table that	9	
1	separated our two desks on which there was a computer	10	THE COURT: Yes, you are excused.
111	· ·	111	(Witness excused)
1	kinds of tables posted for him to work from: So, yes, I	12	
	have seen this in the office.	13	•
ı	Q. When was the first time that you recall seeing Dr.	114	•
1	Perlak using Exhibit PTX-1807	15	
1	A. It was there when I got there.	16	duly sworn as a witness, was examined and
17	Q. And did you see him use it?	17	
	A. Yes.	1	
1	Q. Within what time frame?	19	
20	A. Shortly after I arrived, within a few weeks, again.	20	
21	MR. LEE: No further questions.	21	
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION	22	and the second s
23		23	
24		25	*
25	How long did you share an office with Dr.	723	Page 1884 - Page 1887

Multi-Page 1M Page 1888 Page 1890 1 he discussed his strategies for ways to overcome lack of DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 expression of Bt. 3 BY MS. KNOLL: 3 Q. Let me stop you there and ask you, what was the 4 O. Dr. Rogers, would you please introduce yourself to 4 strategy that Dr. Perlak discussed with you at that 5 time? 6 A. I am Thomas Rogers from St. Louis, Missouri. 6 A. Well, the problem Fred saw was that they were 7 Q. What work do you do at Monsanto today? 7 dealing with plant - bacterial codons, from the parent 8 A. Currently I am involved in Human Integrins 8 Bt gene. And his hypothesis was that if we converted 9 research, the Pharmaceutical Division at Monsanto. 9 that to plant-based codons that the expression levels 10 Q. What was your role at Monsanto during the 10 would then be enhanced in the plant system. 11 1986/1987 time period? 11 Q. Now, Doctor, you mentioned a second conversation. 12 When was the second conversation that you had a 12 A. At that time, I was the leader of a nucleic acid 13 chemistry crop, within Corporate Research at Monsanto. 13 recollection about? 14 We had responsibility for all the oligonucleotide 14 A. Well, as I mentioned, it was probably two or three 15 synthesis within the company. 15 months later. Fred was now going to actually begin 16 Q. What did the molecular biology research groups do 16 ordering these oligonucleotides, and he wanted to know 17 whether or not we had the capacity to handle the kind 17 at Monsanto during that time frame? 18 A. Our function was to provide DNA for all the -18 of level of synthesis he was going to require from us. 19 anybody who would request DNA for the research purposes 19 Q. What did you tell him? 20 A. That - I can't remember precisely what level he 20 at Monsanto. 21 Q. And during that time frame did you come to know a 21 was going to require. But that we would have no 22 problems meeting the level of synthesis that he was going 22 scientist by the name of Fred Perlak? 23 A. Yes, I did. 23 to need. 24 Q. Did you also know Dr. David Fischhoff? 24 25 A. Yes, I did. 25 Page 1889 Page 1891 1 Q. How did you come to know those two individuals? 2 A. Well, we would have weekly group meetings, 2 O. Now, when did this second conversation occur? 3 A. The second conversation would have been sometime 3 research group meetings, where Fred and Dave would 4 present their research, and we would have a - usually 4 in - I would guess in the middle of 1987. Certainly 5 every month, would have a get-together at an off-site 5 prior to August 22nd, 1987. 6 facility and have wine and cheese and that sort of 6 Q. And how do you know that? 7 thing. It was just a social sort of thing, in that 7 A. We went on vacation. My family and I went on 8 regard 8 vacation on August 22nd, the Saturday the 22nd to Colorado Then, of course, in our normal daily contact 9 to attend my -- well, first of all, to spend a week at my 10 in-laws in Colorado Springs and then attend my sister's 10 with researchers, Fred and Dave were working wedding on September 3rd, 1987. 11 oligonucleotides for their projects. So we would get 12 involved with that on a daily basis. 12 Q. Now, let me show you what has previously been marked 13 Q. Where was your office relative to Dr. Perlak's 13 as two exhibits, Plaintiff's Exhibits 32 and 72. And if 14 you could, Dr. Rogers, tell us what those documents are, 14 during the 1986-'87 time frame? 15 just in general terms? 15 A. Fred's office then was due west of mine, about

16 150 feet, on AA-2 in Chesterfield.

17 Q. During that time did you discuss with Dr. Perlak

18 his work on synthetic Bt genes?

19 A. There were two conversations in particular in that

20 regard. Either -- the first one either in late 1986 or

21 early 1987. And the next one was two or three months

22 after that. The first one involved Fred calling me - I

23 was down at his office, at any rate. And he discussed

24 the problems he was having at that time with Bt expression

25 in plants. And we discussed strategies. In particular,

16 A. The first document labeled 32 I believe is a

17 collection of request forms that we would have received

18 from the DNA Chemistry Group. And they begin -- this

19 particular set begins September 24, 1987 from the name

of requester is Fred J. Perlak, and then pretty much

consecutively in reverse order to November 2nd 1987.

22 Again, these all appear, in this particular number 32,

23 Fred Perlak's.

24 Q. Now, what I would like to ask you first before we

25 get to the specifics of these documents is how did the

1 process work within Monsanto during the '86/87 time 2 frame that a researcher like Dr. Perlak would do to get

3 a piece of DNA synthesized?

4 A. At that time, the researcher would get on a

5 computer program on the VACS computer and type in the

6 sequence that they wanted, the unique name of the file

7 sequence, and then description of use, and then that

8 would be mailed to our group, Debbie Connors would

9 receive these as mail messages. She would print out

10 these forms as an automatic transfer of this particular

11 mail message and a form that has information Fred would

12 have sent or any requester would have sent, the details

13 about how the synthesizer was going to be set up and how

14 it would run and also purification scheme.

15 The second page - I won't read each one of 16 these - would be the sequence as we actually would have 17 put it in. DNA synthesis machine would have been 18 double-checked by another colleague before we started synthesis and then the date and name and that sort of 20 thing. This actually came off the output of the

21 synthesizer. 22 And then, at the end of the synthesis, and 23 would have a table again from the synthesizer of actually

24 what the synthesizer did. So basically the synthesizer 25 was the robot and this is detailing what the robot did

Page 1894

1 is building a gene is fairly rare. Fred came to me 2 specifically and said this is what we're going to do and

3 did we have the capacity to do it, which was pretty novel

at that time. Usually we're kept in the dark a little

5 bit about actually what the use was going to be

MS. KNOLL: Thank you, Dr. Rogers.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. DRIVAS:

10 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Rogers.

11 A. Good afternoon.

12 Q. Are you a chemist by background?

13 A. I'm an organic chemist by background, yes, sir.

14 Q. Do you also design DNA sequences?

15 A. No. That's not generally my forte, although I've.

16 Done a fair amount of oligonucleotide research.

17 Q. So the practice researchers at Monsanto would.

18 Actually give you the sequence they wanted synthesized?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. And then you would have to check that once you made

21 it?

22 A. Well, once we - our procedure was, once it was

23 entered in the machine, we would double-check to make

24 sure that the sequence fidelity was correct.

25 Q. Is that critical in DNA chemistry?

Page 1893

1 over that period of time.

2 Q. Now, at the time the researcher or Dr. Perlak sent

3 these to you, he would have had to tell you what the

4 sequence was going to be by the time you received it? 5 A. Yes. I absolutely would have to know the sequence.

6 Q. Now, the collection that you have in your hand that 7 is Exhibit 32, these relate to Dr. Perlak's synthetic Bt

13

14

15

A. Well if one goes to the description of use, some 10 cases it's specific. So for example, the item labeled BtK

11 181.RAQ which is MNP001-054034. The exhibit, description

12 of use has, to quote;

"Sequence for the region of the BtK. gene starting at the base pair 185,

two changes in the codon used."

16 And we go through several of these, if you 17 wish to show that, indeed, these were related to BtK

19 Q. Okay. I think that is good for now. We've got an 20 example.

21 I just have one last question for you, Dr.

22 Rogers: At this time in Monsanto in 1986/1987, how

23 common was it for somebody to resynthesize a gene or

24 rebuild a gene like the one Dr. Perlak was working on?

25 A. Well, for me to specifically know whether someone

I A. Absolutely.

2 O. Why is that?

3 A. If there is any change you would make could mutate

4 or probably would mutate depending where it is in the

5 sequence the particular gene you are trying to modify

6 and certainly a researcher wants to do a specific change

7 and not have to fish out something he didn't intend.

8 Q. So even if you made a mistake in one of these

9 bases, you could have a disastrous effect?

10 A. Could. Depends where it is on the sequence of

11 the nucleotide.

12 Q. Okay. Now, could you look at Exhibit 32 -

13 A. Sure.

14 Q. – that was just handed to you? And if you could

15 turn to the page marked MNP OO1-054030...

16 A. (Witness complied.)

17 Q. 030 would be the last three digits?

18 A. Right.

19 Q. Yes.

20 A. I'll get there. Okay.

21 Q. Now, is this typical of the request that you would

22 get from the researcher?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And do you see this BtK 240.REQ?

25 A. Yes, sir.

Page 1